The capaeitor acted as a vclocity seleetor in Bucherer's experiment, selecting $\beta$-ray electrons of velocities given by equation (2.9). In Bucherer's experiment there was no elcetrie field outside the capacitor plates, and after emerging from between the plates of the capacitor, the electrons moved in eircular orbits in the magnetic field, before striking the photographic plate in fig. 2.2. From equation (2.7), if the defleetion of the electrons is $d$, as shown in fig. 2.2, we have:

$$
\frac{m u}{B e}=\frac{\left(D^{2}+d^{2}\right)}{2 d} .
$$

From equation (2.9), $u=E / B$, so that after rearranging we have:

$$
\frac{e}{m}=\frac{2 d}{\left(D^{2}+d^{2}\right)} \frac{E}{B^{2}} .
$$

In S.I. units $e / m$ is in coulonb per kilogramme ( $\mathrm{C} \mathrm{kg}^{-1}$ ). By measuring $d, D, E$ and $B, e / m$ can he calculated. Some of Bucherer's results are given in Table 2.1.

| $u / c$ | $e / m$ | $\frac{e}{m_{0}}=\frac{e}{\left.m \sqrt{(1}-u^{2} / c^{2}\right)}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 0.3173 | $1.661 \times 10^{11} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{kg}^{-1}$ | $1.752 \times 10^{11} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{kg}^{-1}$ |
| 0.3787 | $1.630 \times 10^{11}$ | $1.761 \times 10^{11}$ |
| 0.4281 | $1.590 \times 10^{11}$ | $1.760 \times 10^{11}$ |
| 0.5154 | $1.511 \times 10^{11}$ | $1.763 \times 10^{11}$ |
| 0.6870 | $1.283 \times 10^{11}$ | $1.767 \times 10^{11}$ |

Table 2.1.
It can be seen that the experimental values of $e / m$ depend on the speeds of the electrons. However, if one assumes that

$$
\begin{equation*}
m=\frac{m_{0}}{\sqrt{\left(1-u^{2} / c^{2}\right)^{\prime}}} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u$ is the speed of the $\beta$-ray electron and $c$ is the spced of light, and if one calculates

$$
\frac{e}{m_{0}}=\frac{e}{m_{\sqrt{ }\left(1-u^{2} / c^{2}\right)^{2}}}
$$

then the caleulated values of $e / m_{0}$ given in Table 2.1 are remarkably constant. They are as good a set of results as the reader is ever likely to obtain in his own laboratory work. In the spirit in which physieal laws are 'established by experiment ' in elementary practical eourses, we will conelude from Bucherer's experiment that equation (2.10) is cstablished by experiment. The quantity $m$ in equation (2.10), which appears also in equations $(2.3),(2.4),(2.5),(2.6)$ and (2.7), is gencrally called the relativistic mass or just the mass of the particle. The quantity
$m_{0}$, which is the value of $m$ when $u=0$, is called the rest mass or proper mass of the particle. It can be seen that as the velocity of the particle increases, according to equation (2.10) the mass of the particle inereases.

Notiee we assumed that the eharge $-e$ on the electron was independent of its velocity. Instead of saying that mass varied according to equation (2.10), we might be tempted to say that the charge $q$ on a particle varied aecording to the equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
q=q_{0} \sqrt{ }\left(1-u^{2} / c^{2}\right), \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u$ was the velocity of the charge, $q_{0}$ the value of the eharge when it was at rest, and that the mass $m$ was invariant. Such assumptions would account for the results given in Table 2.1. There is, however, independent evidence in favour of the principle of constant electric eharge. For example, if the eharge on a partiele did vary with velocity according to equation (2.11), then hydrogen atoms and molecules would not be eleetrically neutral, since the negative eleetrons are moving in orbits around the atomie nuclei in hydrogen atoms and molecules, and on average are moving faster than the positive nuclei (protons in this case) relative to the laboratory. If the charge did vary with velocity, hydrogen molecules should be deflected in eleetric fields, e.g. of the type shown in fig. 2.1 a. In 1960 King showed that the eharges on the eleetrons and the protons in hydrogen moleeules were numerically equal to within one part in $10^{20}$. We therefore conelude that the charge on a particle is independent of its velocity and that the mass of a particle varies with the particle's velocity, according to equation (2.10).

To simplify the mathematics, we will sometimes make the trigonometrical substitution:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=c \sin \theta \text { or } u / c=\sin \theta, \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u$ is the veloeity of the partiele and $c$ is the velocity of light. Substituting in equation (2.10):

$$
\begin{equation*}
m=\frac{m_{0}}{\sqrt{ }\left(1-\sin ^{2} \theta\right)}=\frac{m_{0}}{\sqrt{ }\left(\cos ^{2} \theta\right)}=m_{0} \sec \theta \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The variation of mass with velocity can be shown by plotting $m / m_{0}=\sec \theta$ against $u / c=\sin \theta$ as shown in fig. 2.3. As $u \rightarrow c, m \mid m_{0}$ tends to infinity. For normal laboratory speeds the variation of mass with velocity is negligible. Consider a train going at 100 kilometre per hour, which eorresponds to $u / c \simeq 10^{-7}$. In this case:

$$
m=\frac{m_{0}}{\sqrt{\left(1-10^{-14}\right)}}=m_{0}\left(1-10^{-14}\right)^{-1 / 2}
$$

According to the binomial theorem, if $x \ll 1$ :

$$
(1+x)^{n} \simeq 1+n x
$$

